Small Annulus Big Difference

LEARN MORE

Medtronic

DOI: 10.1111/jocs.16201

REVIEW



Cardiac surgeons' perspectives and practices regarding people who use drugs: A scoping review

Brandon Muncan BA¹ | Esther K. Kim BA¹ | Andrea Amabile MD² | Melissa B. Weimer DO, MCR^{3,4} | Max Jordan Nguemeni Tiako MD, MS⁵ | Prashanth Vallabhajosyula MD, MS² | Andreas P. Kalogeropoulos MD, MPH, PhD⁶ | Arnar Geirsson MD² |

¹Renaissance School of Medicine at Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York, New York, USA

²Division of Cardiac Surgery, Department of Surgery, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

³Program in Addiction Medicine, Department of Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

⁴Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁶Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York, New York, USA

Correspondence

Brandon Muncan, Renaissance School of Medicine at Stony Brook University, 101 Nicolls Rd, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA. Email: brandon.muncan@ stonybrookmedicine.edu

Abstract

Background and Aim of Study: The rising rates of drug use and associated cardiovascular complications, particularly infective endocarditis, have led to poorer health outcomes for people who use drugs (PWUD). The objectives of this scoping review were to identify (1) attitudes of cardiac surgeons toward PWUD and (2) challenges faced in the surgical treatment of drug use-related disease.

Methods: A comprehensive literature search of three databases was performed with this assistance of a medical librarian. Articles were screened and analyzed for common themes by two independent authors. After literature review, a scoping review was conducted according to preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses and Joanna Briggs Institute guidelines, summarizing existing evidence.

Results: Analysis of 35 qualified articles revealed eight themes regarding the perspectives and practices of cardiac surgeons toward PWUD: (1) need for multidisciplinary care teams (45.7%); (2) insufficient resources for treatment of underlying substanceuse disorders (40.0%); (3) stigma toward PWUD (37.1%); (4) willingness of surgeons to operate (31.4%); (5) incomplete guidelines for surgical management of drug-use related infective endocarditis (17.1%); (6) recognizing the importance of psychosocial factors (14.3%); (7) use of drug abstinence contracts (14.3%); and (8) use of stigmatizing language to describe PWUD and/or sterile injection (40.0%).

Conclusions: Provision of equitable care for PWUD requires effort from multiple disciplines including cardiothoracic surgeons, infectious disease specialists, addiction medicine specialists, and social workers. Additionally, further research is needed to gather sufficient data for evidence-based guidelines in the treatment of cardiac complications in PWUD.

KEYWORDS

cardiac surgery, people who use drugs, stigma

1 | INTRODUCTION

For over two decades, the ongoing epidemics of drug overdose and drug use-associated infections have been causes for public health concern. Beginning around 2010, the second wave of the opioid crisis saw a particularly sharp increase in the use of heroin, which has since been compounded by rising incidence of stimulant and high potency synthetic opioid use.^{1,2} Along with higher rates of drug use, the incidence and prevalence of infectious diseases including hepatitis C, soft tissue infection, and infective endocarditis have also risen, primarily attributed to nonsterile injection of particulate-contaminated drug samples.^{3,4} Moreover, people who use drugs (PWUD) are a marginalized social group who are heavily impacted by the nature of the current crisis. In terms of healthcare, PWUD have poorer health outcomes largely due to psychosocial factors like poverty and institutional barriers to equitable medical care like poor access to specialty physicians.^{5,6} Specifically, past studies have demonstrated that PWUD have greater mortality and rates of infection reoccurrence and postprocedural complications compared to non-PWUD.⁷ Moreover, despite proven benefits of treatment for substance use disorder (SUD) in conjunction with other medical services, access to and use of medications for treatment of SUD remains problematic for many PWUD in the United States.⁸ Importantly, drug use stigma and negative attitudes toward PWUD among physicians are key contributors to quality of care for these patients.

The up-trending rates of cardiovascular complications of injection drug use (particularly infective endocarditis) are becoming concerning to cardiac surgeons now more than ever. Evidencebased guidelines for the management of surgical disease with concomitant drug use are fairly nonspecific. The current American Association for Thoracic Surgery guidelines, for example, recommend the same surgical treatment for drug use and nondrug use-related endocarditis, but emphasize the need for addiction medicine referral. Even though these guidelines represent a step in the right direction, the development of specific, ethical, and equitable treatment strategies for recurrent disease are recognized challenges in the specialty of cardiothoracic surgery.^{9–11} As surgeons face difficult decision-making regarding when to offer operative and/or re-operative treatment for PWUD and how best to support patients with SUDs, the question of current attitudes and practices is becoming increasingly important. Moreover, stigma against PWUD has been documented as an important factor in decision-making and provision of adequate medical care.^{8,12}

Although some quantitative studies investigating surgeon's views on PWUD have been conducted, to our knowledge, no review articles summarizing the literature and current developments on this topic have been published. As such, we aim to further inform the field of ethics in cardiac surgery by elucidating and describing published perspectives on, attitudes toward, and practices of, cardiac surgeons regarding PWUD.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study design and database search strategy

We designed and performed a scoping review according to recommendations of the Joanna Briggs Institute¹³ and preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) extension for scoping reviews. With the support of a medical librarian, we conducted a literature search of three databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Web of Science, using a combination of title, abstract, keyword, and database-specific controlled language terms. Searches were informed by Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies guidelines to ensure highest quality of database searching.¹⁴ Full search queries are itemized in Appendix 1 (Supporting Information).

In accordance with PRISMA scoping review extension guidelines,¹³ all article types were included in the search to ensure comprehensiveness. We selected only full-text English language articles published between January 1, 2010 and June 18, 2021, to capture any potential temporal trends during the second wave of the opioid crisis.² References were exported to EndNote X9 (Clarivate Analytics, 2013) and duplicates were removed according to previously validated methodology.¹⁵ Finally, a semi-automated bibliographic review of articles' reference list using lexical keyword search in MAXQDA 2020 (VERBI Software, 2019) was used to identify other potentially relevant articles. Full keyword search query is itemized in Appendix 1 (Supporting Information).

2.2 | Initial screening

Two authors (BM and EKK) independently screened the titles and abstracts of each article to identify articles eligible for full-text analysis. Inclusion criteria included articles with: (1) cardiothoracic surgical patients as a cohort of interest and/or commentary, (2) cardiothoracic surgeons as a cohort of interest and/or commentary, and (3) discussion of cardiothoracic surgical care provision as it relates to the psychosocial ramifications of nonmedical drug use. In line with validated scoping review methods,^{13,16} disagreements were settled by discussion and consensus.

2.3 | Themes, data charting, and synthesis

Full-text articles were read and analyzed independently by two authors (BM and EKK). After full-text review, data charting was performed according to the methods of Moran et al.¹⁶ and included article type, year of publication, country as defined by the first author's affiliation, and relevant themes. Once completed, common overarching themes were identified. In line with scoping review methodology, some themes were explicitly identified *a priori* from the previous abstract review and were further refined during data charting and synthesis; other themes were identified post-hoc while reviewing full-text articles. Authors continuously refined and

modified themes as necessary during weekly meetings. Disagreements were resolved by discussion and consensus.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Search results

We retrieved a total of 1604 articles from database searching and supplementary focused bibliographic review. After deduplication, the titles and abstracts of 1532 unique articles were screened for fulltext review eligibility; of these, 1430 articles were deemed ineligible due to irrelevant topic. The full text of the remaining 102 articles were then used to determine eligibility for inclusion in this scoping review. Of these 102, 16 did not include cardiothoracic surgeons or cardiothoracic surgical patients as cohorts of interest and were thus excluded; furthermore, 51 articles were deemed irrelevant to our focus and were excluded as well. A total of 35 articles remained and were included in this scoping review (Figure 1). See Table 1 for complete list of included articles.

3.2 | Characteristics of included articles

Of the 35 papers included in this review, 13 (37%) were either expert opinion articles, editorials, or expert commentaries; six (17%) were letters to the editor; three (9%) were survey studies, two (6%) were qualitative studies; five (14%) were review articles including one gray literature review article which was non-peer reviewed³⁷; five (14%) were conference abstracts, and one (3%) was a case report (Figure 2). Of 35 papers, most (77%) were published after 2018 (Figure 3); 33

(94.3%) articles had first authors affiliated with institutions in either the United States or Canada (Table 1). The majority of papers discussed cardiothoracic surgeons' perspectives, attitudes, and behaviors regarding PWUD in the context of infective endocarditis, as this is the most common clinical entity among PWUD that necessitates cardiothoracic surgical consultation.

Eight unique, but not mutually exclusive, themes were identified across the articles (Figure 4). Sixteen papers (45.7%) identified and discussed the importance and need of multidisciplinary care teams to address surgical pathology and SUD concomitantly; 14 papers (40.0%) commented on insufficient resources for the treatment of SUD concomitantly with medical and/or surgical treatment; 13 papers (37.1%) recognized stigma toward PWUD as a barrier to equitable provision of surgical care; 11 papers (31.4%) discussed rationing of surgery and willingness of cardiothoracic surgeons to operate on PWUD with drug use-related surgical pathology; six papers (17.1%) cited vague or incomplete evidence base and/or societal guidelines for the surgical management of drug use-related infective endocarditis (DUIE); five papers (14.3%) recognized psychosocial factors as important outcome determinants for PWUD; five papers (14.3%) discussed the use of post-procedural drug abstinence agreements with PWUD. Additionally, we identified the use of stigmatizing language toward PWUD (i.e., use of terms such as "addict [s]," "abusers," "recidivist," "recidivism") in 14 (40.0%) articles. An exposition and discussion of each theme is presented below.

3.3 | Multidisciplinary teams

A commonly identified theme was the role of multidisciplinary care teams in the treatment of PWUD with cardiothoracic surgical





WILEY- CARDIAC SURGERY

Articles	Publication type	Country ^a
Baldassarri and Chupp, 2013 ¹⁷	Conference Abstract	United States
Hull and Jadbabaie, 2014 ¹⁸	Opinion/Editorial/Commentary	United States
Badhwar et al., 2016 ¹⁹	Opinion/Editorial/Commentary	United States
Ferraris et al., 2016 ²⁰	Opinion/Editorial/Commentary	United States
Gansera et al., 2016 ²¹	Case Report	Germany
Hussain et al., 2017 ²²	Letter to the Editor	United States
Vlahakes, 2017 ²³	Opinion/Editorial/Commentary	United States
Wurcel et al., 2017 $^{\circ}$	Letter to the Editor	United States
Englander et al., 2018 ²⁴	Qualitative Study	United States
Kirkpatrick and Smith, 2018 ²⁵	Opinion/Editorial/Commentary	United States
Serota et al., 2018 ²⁶	Letter to the Editor	United States
Wang et al., 2018a ²⁷	Letter to the Editor	United States
Wang et al., 2018b ²⁸	Review Article	United States
Yanagawa et al., 2018 ²⁹	Review Article	Canada
Allespach and Stahl, 2019 ³⁰	Opinion/Editorial/Commentary	United States
Buchanan et al., 2019 ³¹	Review Article	Canada
Deas and Keeling, 2019 ³²	Opinion/Editorial/Commentary	United States
Nguemeni Tiako et al., 2019a ³³	Conference Abstract	United States
Nguemeni Tiako et al., 2019b ³⁴	Opinion/Editorial/Commentary	United States
Yanagawa et al., 2019 ³⁵	Opinion/Editorial/Commentary	Canada
Ahmed et al., 2020 ³⁶	Review Article	United States
An et al., 2021°	Survey Study	Canada
Aultman et al., 2020 ³⁷	Review Article, Non-peer reviewed	United States
Firstenberg et al., 2020 ³⁸	Conference Abstract	United States
Hayden and Moore, 2020 ¹⁰	Qualitative Study	United States
Mennander, 2020 ³⁹	Opinion/Editorial/Commentary	Finland
Mohammadi and Kalavrouziotis, 2020^{40}	Opinion/Editorial/Commentary	Canada
Nguemeni Tiako et al., 2020 ⁸	Conference Abstract	United States
Nguemeni Tiako et al., 2021 ⁴¹	Survey Study	United States
Nguemeni Tiako et al., 2020 ¹²	Conference Abstract	United States
Brothers and Bahji, 2021 ⁴²	Letter to the Editor	Canada
Lazar ⁴³	Opinion/Editorial/Commentary	United States
Lennox et al., 2021 ⁴⁴	Letter to the Editor	Canada
Nguemeni Tiako et al. 2021 ⁴¹	Survey Study	United States
Roselli et al. ⁴⁶	Opinion/Editorial/Commentary	United States

 TABLE 1
 Included articles and
characteristics

^aCountry is defined by institutional affiliation of first author as per Moran et al.¹⁶ methodology.







Year



FIGURE 4 Major themes identified in scoping review, by frequency. §Note that themes are not mutually exclusive; we identify more than one theme in the majority of articles

-WILEY CARDIAC SURGERY

pathology, in particular drug use-related infective endocarditis (DUIE). Although the importance of SUD (injection drug use specifically) as a risk factor for both native valve and recurrent infective endocarditis is well-recognized, Hayden and Moore concluded that non-addiction medicine physicians may feel uncomfortable or uncertain with how to address SUD.¹⁰ Multidisciplinary care teams comprised of cardiothoracic surgeons, cardiologists, infectious disease specialists, addiction medicine specialists, and social workers have been identified as critical to the comprehensive care of PWUD, particularly when continuing SUD poses a dilemma in surgical care planning. The diversified expertize of team members can help in making difficult decisions including when to reoperate,¹⁷ how to best manage SUD postoperatively,²⁹ and when to recommend palliative care.¹⁹

It is important to also recognize the role of multidisciplinary care teams in the delivery of ethical and equitable care for PWUD. As Baldassarri and Chupp report, implicit and explicit biases of cardiothoracic surgeons factor into surgical decision making, and sometimes produce situations that are at odds with patients' wishes and/or recommendations of ethics committees.¹⁷ As such, input from specialists in multiple fields can promote a more patient-centered approach to care, thereby establishing and solidifying a treatment approach grounded in beneficence and non-maleficence to the patient with SUD. Despite identifying multidisciplinary care teams as a best practice for PWUD who have cardiothoracic diseases, practical examples of these teams and outcomes from the involvement of these teams have not been reported in the cardiac surgical literature, representing an important research gap.

3.4 | Insufficient services for the treatment of SUD

Another common theme was lack of health system evaluation and treatment of SUD for PWUD with cardiothoracic diseases during hospitalization and in the postoperative period. The following were identified as barriers to SUD treatment access: lack of comprehensive inpatient addiction medicine or addiction psychiatry consult services, lack of formalized addiction medicine referral protocols post-hospitalization, and unfamiliarity of cardiothoracic surgeons with treatment options or best practices for the treatment of substance use disorder.9,43-45 Importantly, in cases where resources and services were available, they were frequently called upon by cardiothoracic surgeons. As Nguemeni-Tiako et al. described, only 35% of surgeons reported having access to specialty addiction services at their institution; however, when these services were available, 93% of surgeons reported consulting them for multidisciplinary management of infective endocarditis. Moreover, uncontrolled research shows possible survival benefit of for PWUD with infective endocarditis in PWUD with access to, and utilization of, addiction medicine resources over those without it.45

3.5 | Recognizing stigma as a barrier to care

A third common theme was stigma toward PWUD as an important barrier to equitable cardiothoracic surgical care. Despite the frequent

use of stigmatizing language in papers, several authors describe the phenomenon of unequitable care for PWUD, which stems from stigma. A survey study of 201 cardiothoracic surgeons in the United States for example, found that 25% believed that SUD was a choice rather than a chronic illness, while 18% reported that medication assisted treatment for opioid use was merely "replacing one addiction with another.^{#45} These attitudes and beliefs have shown to play a role in enacted differential treatment for PWUD with DUIE.¹⁷ For example, Lennox et al. remarked that stigma may contribute to surgeons offering operative treatment for DUIE less frequently for PWUD than for non-PWUD.⁴⁴

3.6 | Clinical rationing and willingness to operate

A fourth common theme was rationing of surgical intervention and surgeon's willingness to operate on PWUD with drug-use related pathology (principally DUIE). In a survey study of 94 cardiothoracic surgeons, up to half reported some reservation or reluctance to operate on patients with native valve DUIE; furthermore, only 36% reported willingness to offer surgical intervention for prosthetic valve DUIE in the case of continuing injection drug use after initial surgery.⁹ Similarly, a survey study of 208 surgeons revealed a comparable willingness to operate between PWUD with DUIE currently on methadone assisted therapy for opioid use disorder, and patients with non-DUIE native valve endocarditis; staggeringly, almost all surgeons (93.1%) reported willingness to reoperate recurrent non-DUIE, while only 24.6% reported willingness to reoperate on PWUD with recurrent disease.⁴⁵ In the same study, Nguemeni-Tiako et al. also found that 63.5% of surgeons had refused surgery for PWUD with DUIE in the past, commonly citing the personal choice to use drugs, "recidivism," and inappropriate spending of medical dollars as contraindications to surgical therapy.⁴⁵

Moreover, futility of treatment for PWUD was also recognized as an important theme among cardiothoracic surgeons. As Hull and Jadbabaie affirm, some surgeons believe that recurrent drug userelated disease does not merit reoperation as PWUD will only continue to misuse substances.¹⁸ Roselli et al. expose an opposing, but important perspective regarding rationing and willingness to operate in relation to recurrent DUIE: although continuing substance use postoperatively is indeed associated with poorer outcomes, PWUD may not have had access to adequate SUD treatment during initial treatment.^{46–48} As such, refusal to reoperate on PWUD with recurrent DUIE on the basis of expected relapse may not be appropriate.⁴⁶

Although stigma is recognized as a contributor to surgeons' decision to operate, several other factors were identified as important to surgical decision-making as well. Data on long-term outcomes for surgically treated PWUD with infective endocarditis are limited, and societal guidelines do not delineate specific protocols for PWUD.¹¹ As such, many authors have concluded that operating and/or reoperating on native or prosthetic valvular endocarditis may not provide benefit to the patient and therefore withhold surgery.⁴⁶ Importantly, however, this position is taken in the absence of PWUD being offered known highly effective, lifesaving treatments concomitantly with the treatment of their cardiothoracic disease.

3.7 | Vague and/or incomplete guidelines for surgical management of PWUD with infective endocarditis

A fifth theme was the recognition of a lack of a strong evidence base for surgical treatment of DUIE, most commonly citing lack of specific societal guidelines or scarcity of robust outcomes data to inform best practices. Both the 2016 American Association of Thoracic Surgeons consensus guidelines and the 2020 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines for valvular heart disease make note of the importance to promote maximally aggressive treatment of SUD for PWUD; however, specific guidelines regarding surgical candidacy and re-operative eligibility are not delineated.^{11,49} Similarly, the evidence base for longterm outcomes of surgery among PWUD are largely unknown: data are sparse, and those which do exist are heterogenous. Whereas, authors seem to agree that operative mortality among PWUD with DUIE is lower than the general population (likely due to younger age and fewer comorbidities), some authors have found poorer survival past index hospitalization for PWUD48 while others have found no long-term postoperative survival difference between PWUD and non-PWUD.47 Both of these factors produce dilemmas for cardiothoracic surgeons when making decisions about the surgical management of PWUD.^{9,10}

3.8 | Recognizing the importance of psychosocial factors related to drug use

Some articles (14.3%) included a discussion of psychosocial variables as important risk factors for the development and management of SUD concomitant with cardiothoracic surgical disease. The role of low socioeconomic status, fewer educational opportunities, housing instability, poor access to health services, and exposure to violence are wellestablished associations with the development of drug use-related pathology including infections (human immunodeficiency virus [HIV], hepatitis C [HCV], sexually transmitted infections, DUIE, etc.) and psychiatric illness.⁵⁰ Related to heart disease, some authors acknowledge the important intersection of social determinants of health and outcomes of DUIE; in particular, Lazar described institutional barriers (i.e., lack of resources for SUD treatment), economic barriers (i.e., lack of health insurance), homelessness, and scarcity of strong social support for PWUD in recovery as critically important contributors to the cycle of continuing drug use and recurrence of endocarditis.⁴³ Lennox et al. also commented on this theme, recognizing the importance of equitable access to high quality SUD treatment for PWUD regardless of the underlying socioeconomic fabric.44

3.9 | Drug abstinence agreements

Similarly, 14.3% of articles discussed the use of postoperative drug abstinence agreements as a means of both addressing SUD in PWUD with surgical disease and rationing reoperations (i.e., PWUD would be refused reoperation if found to have broken the abstinence agreement and CARDIAC SURGERY -WILEY

present with prosthetic valve DUIE). Although most authors (and surgeons) use the term "contracts," we choose to use the term "agreements" as it is more patient-centered, and because the nature of the agreement is not legally binding as a contract is. Using the terminology "contracts" may dissuade PWUD from seeking additional care, particularly if they relapse, as they may fear punitive measures in line with drug criminalization. Vlahakes encourages cardiothoracic surgeons to lead multidisciplinary endocarditis teams and to use written agreements with PUWD to dissuade continued injection drug use and to warn them about not having a "second chance" with surgery if they continue to use.²³ An et al. found that 54% of surveyed surgeons required some form of verbal attestation to remain abstinent from drugs before surgery, while 11% require a written contract.⁹ As Wurcel et al. very appropriately pointed out, this strategy is likely ineffective as well as discriminatory toward PWUD: SUD is a chronic medical condition that cannot simply be "signed away with a contract"; moreover, other health behaviors which negatively impact cardiovascular health (i.e., smoking, alcohol consumption, etc.) are not accompanied by abstinence agreements, nor do surgeons tend to refuse reoperation in the case of recurrent pathology for these patients.⁶ Consequently, the expectations of such agreements for PWUD are likely impracticable and ethically questionable.

3.10 | Use of stigmatizing language

Last, but importantly, we identify the use of stigmatizing language toward PWUD in 14 (40%) of papers. Terms including "drug abuser," "addict," "recidivist" appeared very commonly, even in papers which identified stigma as a barrier to care. The language used to refer to PWUD informs not only the perception of the speaker or writer, but also modulates others' opinions and most importantly has an impact on patients. PWUD represent a heavily stigmatized and marginalized group, often with poor healthcare access and limited social support. Pejorative language on the part of physicians deepens mistrust and delays care-seeking, thereby leading to poorer outcomes for this already vulnerable cohort.⁵¹ As some authors acknowledged, the language used in clinical settings (including on the floors and in published literature) matters.46,33 Therefore, person-first language ("person who uses drugs" rather than "drug abuser"), acknowledgment of drug use as a medical entity ("SUD" rather than "drug addiction"), and avoidance of slang or idiomatic expressions ("positive urine drug screen" rather than "dirty urine"; "sterile or nonsterile" rather than "clean or dirty" to refer to injection behaviors) is recommended when referring to PWUD⁵² both in clinical contexts and in the literature.

3.11 | Harm reduction

Although not explicitly discussed in the articles considered in this review, harm reduction including syringe exchange programs, safe injection sites, and infection detection and control measures (i.e. HIV/HCV/STI testing, sterile syringe and injection equipment distribution, naloxone distribution, etc.) is a vital part of reducing the

WILEY- CARDIAC SURGERY

burden of drug-use related pathology, and should be highly considered in the setting of continued injection drug use.^{4,5,51} The absence of harm reduction discussion in the cardiac surgery literature therefore, highlights an educational gap among physicians, and is an important area of improvement.

Non-sterile injection is the primary driver of drug-use related infections including DUIE; as such, it is imperative that harm reduction as prevention and, when applicable, postsurgical harm reduction be a mainstay recommendation for the comprehensive treatment of PWUD with cardiothoracic surgical disease. Multidisciplinary collaboration with outpatient syringe exchange programs may be of particular benefit, as a growing number of these sites offer services such as sterile injection supplies, HIV/HCV/STI testing, on-site SUD treatment and/or referral, and peer-counseling.⁵³ Although this proposed collaboration would be relatively novel for cardiothoracic surgeons, its impact in other medical specialities has been demonstrated⁵⁴ and may contribute valuable insight as a part of multidisciplinary teams which the majority of papers in this review have remarked the need for.

CONCLUSIONS 4

The purpose of this scoping review was to survey and summarize the available literature on the perspectives, attitudes, and behaviors of cardiothoracic surgeons toward PWUD, with the aim of highlighting research gaps and encouraging future work in this area. Unlike systematic reviews, our methods did not include exhaustive literature searching with a rigid a priori framework, nor did we consider degrees of bias in the included articles. Despite these limitations, by conducting a nonspecific search and including several types of evidence, we provided a better understanding of the available literature and we can therefore recommend future research to focus on the underappreciated phenomenon of drug use-related stigma among cardiothoracic surgeons.

Several perspectives and attitudes of cardiothoracic surgeons toward PWUD can be identified, thus helping elucidate barriers to equitable treatment of this socially vulnerable population. Many cardiothoracic surgeons were in agreement that guidelines for treatment of drug-use specific pathology (i.e., DUIE) are underdeveloped, and resources for addiction treatment are scarce, particularly for this population. Consequently, most surgeons agreed that multidisciplinary teams for the treatment of PWUD are crucial to providing the best care possible. Importantly, several cardiothoracic surgeon reported implicit and/or explicit bias toward PWUD and felt apprehensive about offering surgery to PWUD with recurrent drug use-related disease. Last, low socioeconomic status, financial instability, poor access to healthcare, and limited knowledge of harm reduction (i.e., safe and sterile injection drug use) poses barriers for both PWUD and surgeons treating them. The treatment of cardiac complications in PWUD is an unexplored area whose existing data are heterogenous. To ensure delivery of ethical and equitable care to PWUD, further efforts are warranted in multiple disciplines to reduce

the stigma towards PWUD, increase institutional resources for SUD, and implement harm reduction programs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Stephen G. Post for his guidance and encouragement, and Jessica Koos for her assistance with literature searching. This study did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there are no conflict of interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Brandon Muncan: Conceptualization, methodology, data curation, formal analysis, writing-original draft, review and editing. Esther K. Kim: Data curation, writing-original draft, review and editing. Andrea Amabile: Formal analysis, writing-review and editing. Melissa B. Weimer: Formal analysis, writing-review and editing. Max Jordan Nguemeni Tiako: Writing-review and editing. Prashanth Vallabhajosyula: Writing-review and editing. Andreas P. Kalogeropoulos: Project supervision, formal analysis, writing-review and editing. Arnar Geirsson: Project supervision, formal analysis, writing-review and editing. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

ORCID

Brandon Muncan D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3626-2673 Max Jordan Nguemeni Tiako D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5468-8926

Prashanth Vallabhajosyula b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1192-3367

Arnar Geirsson D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8943-9962

REFERENCES

- 1. Cepeda JA, Vickerman P, Bruneau J, et al. Estimating the contribution of stimulant injection to HIV and HCV epidemics among people who inject drugs and implications for harm reduction: a modeling analysis. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2020;213:108135.
- 2 Ciccarone D. The triple wave epidemic: supply and demand drivers of the US opioid overdose crisis. Int J Drug Policy. 2019;71:183-188.
- Kadri AN, Wilner B, Hernandez AV, et al. Geographic trends, patient 3. characteristics, and outcomes of infective endocarditis associated with drug abuse in the United States from 2002 to 2016. J Am Heart Assoc. 2019:8(19):e012969.
- 4. Motie I, Carretta HJ, Beitsch LM. Needling policy makers and sharpening the debate: do syringe exchange programs improve health at the population level? J Public Health Manag Pract. 2020; 26(3):222-226.
- 5. Muncan B, Jordan AE, Perlman DC, Frank D, Ompad DC, Walters SM. Acceptability and effectiveness of hepatitis C care at syringe service programs for people who inject drugs in New York city. Subst Use Misuse. 2021;56(5):728-737.
- 6. Wurcel AG, Yu S, Pacheco M, Warner K. Contracts with people who inject drugs following valve surgery: unrealistic and misguided expectations. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2017;154(6):2002.
- 7. Geirsson A, Schranz A, Jawitz O, et al. The evolving burden of drug use associated infective endocarditis in the United States. Ann Thorac Surg. 2020;110(4):1185-1192.

- Nguemeni Tiako MJ, Hong S, Bin Mahmood SU, et al. Inconsistent addiction treatment for patients undergoing cardiac surgery for injection drug use-associated infective endocarditis. J Addict Med. 2020;14(6):350–354.
- An KR, Luc JGY, Tam DY, et al. Infective endocarditis secondary to injection drug use: a survey of Canadian cardiac surgeons. Ann Thorac Surg. 2020112(5):1460–1467. http://doi.org/10.1016/j. athoracsur.2020.12.003
- Hayden M, Moore A. Attitudes and approaches towards repeat valve surgery in recurrent injection drug use-associated infective endocarditis: a qualitative study. J Addict Med. 2020; 14(3):217-223.
- Pettersson GB, Coselli JS, Pettersson GB, et al. 2016 The American Association for Thoracic Surgery (AATS) consensus guidelines: surgical treatment of infective endocarditis: executive summary. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2017;153(6):1241-1258.e1229.
- Nguemeni Tiako MJ, Mahmood SUB. Cardiac surgeons practices, attitudes regarding addiction care and patients who use drugs. J Addict Med. 2020;14(6):e388.
- Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. PRISMA Extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. *Ann Intern Med.* 2018;169(7):467-473.
- McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel DM, Cogo E, Foerster V, Lefebvre C. PRESS peer review of electronic search strategies: 2015 guideline statement. J Clin Epidemiol. 2016;75:40-46.
- 15. Bramer WM. Reference checking for systematic reviews using endnote. J Med Libr Assoc. 2018;106(4):542-546.
- Moran HRM, Maurice-Ventouris M, Alharbi M, Harley JM, Lachapelle KJ. A scoping review to identify competencies for transcatheter cardiovascular procedures. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. Forthcoming 2020 In Press
- 17. Baldassarri S, Chupp G. A 43-year-old man with intravenous drug abuse and recurrent endocarditis. *Chest*. 2013;144(4):296A.
- Hull SC, Jadbabaie F. When is enough enough? The dilemma of valve replacement in a recidivist intravenous drug user. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2014;97(5):1486-1487.
- 19. Badhwar V, Wei LM, Rankin JS. Seeing the entire forest in endocarditis. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2016;152(3):681-682.
- Ferraris VA., Sekela ME.. Missing the forest for the trees: The world around us and surgical treatment of endocarditis. *The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*. 2016;152:(3):677–680. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2016.05.014
- Gansera L, Eszlari E, Deutsch O, Eichinger W, Gansera B. High-Risk Cardiac Surgery in Patients with Intravenous Drug Abuse and/or Active Hepatitis C or HIV Infection: An Ethical Discussion of Six Cases. The Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgeon. 2015;64:(01): 002–005. http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1551682
- Hussain ST., Gordon SM., Streem DW., Blackstone EH., Pettersson GB.. Contract with the patient with injection drug use and infective endocarditis: Surgeons perspective. *The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*. 2017;154:(6):2002–2003. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2017.08.004
- Vlahakes GJ. "Consensus guidelines for the surgical treatment of infective endocarditis": the surgeon must lead the team. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2017;153(6):1259-1260.
- Englander H, Collins D, Peterson-Perry S, Rabinowitz M, Phoutrides E, Nicolaidis C. "We've Learned It's a Medical Illness, Not a Moral Choice": Qualitative Study of the Effects of a Multicomponent Addiction Intervention on Hospital Providers' Attitudes and Experiences. *Journal of Hospital Medicine*. 2018;13(11):752–758. http://dx.doi.org/10.12788/jhm.2993
- Kirkpatrick JN., Smith JW.. Dilemmas in Dual Disease: Complexity and Futility in Prosthetic Valve Endocarditis and Substance Use Disorder. The American Journal of Bioethics. 2018;18:(1):76–78. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15265161.2017.1401160

- Serota DP, Wilson JD, Merlin JS. Injection Drug Use-Associated Infective Endocarditis. JAMA. 2018;320:(18):1939. http://dx.doi. org/10.1001/jama.2018.14071
- Wang A, Gaca JG, Chu VH. Injection Drug Use-Associated Infective Endocarditis—Reply. JAMA. 2018;320:(18):1939. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1001/jama.2018.14075
- Wang A, Gaca JG, Chu VH. Management Considerations in Infective Endocarditis. JAMA. 2018;320:(1):72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/ jama.2018.7596
- Yanagawa B, Bahji A, Lamba W, et al. Endocarditis in the setting of IDU: multidisciplinary management. *Curr Opin Cardiol*. 2018;33(2): 140-147.
- Allespach H, Stahl KD. "Dread to treat" patients in cardiac surgery: Global strategies for interacting with cardiothoracic patients with substance use disorders. *Journal of Cardiac Surgery*. 2019;34:(12): 1427–1429. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jocs.14271
- Buchanan NE., Lennox RB., Whitlock R, Belley-Côté Emilie, O'Shea Timothy. Making the Cut: Perspectives on the Surgical Management of Infective Endocarditis Among People Who Use Intravenous Drugs. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*. 2019;35:(10): 1416–1418. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2019.05.016
- Deas DS., Keeling B. Stop Draggin' My Heart Around: Recidivism, Intravenous Drug Use, and Endocarditis. Seminars in Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery. 2019;31:(1):46–47. http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j. semtcvs.2018.09.024
- Nguemeni Tiako MJ, Hong S, Mahmood SUB, et al. Patterns of addiction treatment for patients undergoing cardiac surgery for infective endocarditis associated with intravenous drug use. *Circ: Cardiovas Qual Outcomes*. 2019;12. http://doi.org/10.1161/hcq.12. suppl_1.255
- Nguemeni Tiako MJ, Mori M, Bin Mahmood SU, Geirsson Arnar. Acknowledging the Importance of Proper Word Choice to Avoid Stigmatizing Patients Who Inject Drugs. Seminars in Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery. 2019;31:(4):806. http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/ j.semtcvs.2019.05.031
- Yanagawa B, Bahji A, Lamba W, Tan DH, Cheema A, Syed I, Verma S. Endocarditis in the setting of IDU. *Current Opinion in Cardiology*. 2018; 33:(2):140–147. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/hco.0000000000000493
- Ahmed T, Safdar A. Ethical Dilemma: Should Continuous Intravenous Drug Use Affect Appropriate Management in Prosthetic Valve Endocarditis?. *Cureus*. 2020;12(6):e8458. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.7759/cureus.8458
- 37. da Silva Chaves SN, Dutra Costa BP, Vidal Gomes GC, Lima-Maximino M, Pacheco Rico E & Maximino C. NOS-2 participates in the behavioral effects of ethanol withdrawal in zebrafish. *Neuroscience Letters*. 2020;728:134952. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.neulet.2020.134952
- Firstenberg MS, Novak M, McCallister D, Dziadkowiec O, Aultman J. Surgeons' perspectives on recurrent valve replacement in patients with endocarditis due to drug use. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2020;75:(11):2124. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0735-1097(20)32751-0
- Mennander AA. Commentary: When is repeated cardiac valve surgery justified during drug-associated infective endocarditis?. The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery. 2020;159:(4): 1271–1272. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2019.06.081
- Mohammadi S, Kalavrouziotis D. Commentary: How many times are enough? Infective endocarditis in drug users. *The Journal of Thoracic* and Cardiovascular Surgery. 2020;159:(4):1269–1270. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2019.07.008
- Nguemeni Tiako MJ, Mszar R, Brooks C, Mahmood SUB, Mori M, Geirsson A, Weimer MB. Cardiac Surgeons' Treatment Approaches for Infective Endocarditis Based on Patients' Substance Use History. Seminars in Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery. 2021;33:(3): 703–709. http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.semtcvs.2020.11.031

9

WILEY- CARDIAC SURGERY

- Brothers TD, Bahji A. Patients with infective endocarditis deserve evidence-based addiction treatment. *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 2021; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2021.01.085
- Lazar HL. Valve surgery for endocarditis in patients who inject drugs: removing them from the society of thoracic surgeons database is only part of the solution. J Am Heart Assoc. 2021;10(10):e021153.
- Lennox R, Cvetkovic A, O'Shea T. People with injection drug useassociated endocarditis deserve more than half a chance at surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2021;113:373.
- Nguemeni Tiako MJ, Mszar R, Brooks C, et al. Cardiac surgeons' practices and attitudes toward addiction care for patients with substance use disorders. *Subst Abus*. Published online March 26, 2021. 1-6.
- Roselli EE, Deeb GM, Sade RM. Should patients with opioid addiction have a second valve replacement for endocarditis? *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2021;111(2):401-406.
- Kim JB, Ejiofor JI, Yammine M, et al. Surgical outcomes of infective endocarditis among intravenous drug users. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2016;152(3):832-841 e831.
- Straw S, Baig MW, Gillott R, et al. Long-term outcomes are poor in intravenous drug users following infective endocarditis, even after surgery. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;71(3):564-571.
- 49. Otto CM, Nishimura RA, Bonow RO, et al. 2020 ACC/AHA guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association joint committee on clinical practice guidelines. *Circulation*. 2021;143(1435):e35-e71.
- 50. Ahern J, Stuber J, Galea S. Stigma, discrimination and the health of illicit drug users. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2007;88(2-3):188-196.

- Muncan B, Walters SM, Ezell J, Ompad DC. "They look at us like junkies": influences of drug use stigma on the healthcare engagement of people who inject drugs in New York City. *Harm Reduct J*. 2020;17(1):53.
- 52. Broyles LM, Binswanger IA, Jenkins JA, et al. Confronting inadvertent stigma and pejorative language in addiction scholarship: a recognition and response. Taylor & Francis; 2014.
- Des Jarlais DC, Feelemyer J, LaKosky P, Szymanowski K, Arasteh K. Expansion of Syringe Service Programs in the United States, 2015–2018. Am J Public Health. 2020;110(4):517-519.
- McMullen TP, Naeim M, Newark C, Oliphant H, Suchard J, Banimahd F. Shifting the paradigm: physician-authorized, studentled efforts to provide harm reduction services amidst legislative opposition. Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy. 2021;16(1):27.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Muncan B, Kim EK, Amabile A, et al. Cardiac surgeons' perspectives and practices regarding people who use drugs: A scoping review. *J Card Surg.* 2022;1-10. doi:10.1111/jacs.16201

doi:10.1111/jocs.16201